



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

possession of the donee is insufficient evidence from which to imply delivery in cases of gifts causa mortis.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see 6 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 734.]

Error to Circuit Court, Washington County.

Action by W. K. Shankle, executor, against Ritta F. Spahr. Judgment for defendant, and plaintiff brings error. Reversed, and new trial granted.

S. V. Fulkerson and *N. P. Oglesby*, both of Bristol, for plaintiff in error.

Jno. W. Price, of Bristol, for defendant in error.

PETERS *v.* PETERS.

Sept. 20, 1917.

[93 S. E. 622.]

Equity (§ 368*)—Reinstatement of Cause.—The decree in a suit regarding land retired the cause from the docket with leave to either party to reinstate on notice to the adverse party. Twenty-one years thereafter one not a party to the original suit gave notice of application for reinstatement to the original defendants and another not a party, and asked for a writ of possession. Without other allegation or evidence, the court awarded writ of possession. Held, that the court had no jurisdiction over the land, the one seeking to reinstate or the new defendant, and its decree was void, since, whatever the effect of the leave given to either party to the original suit to reinstate it, the privilege to reinstate could be exercised only within a reasonable time and by one of the parties.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see 3 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 712; 4 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 708.]

Appeal from Circuit Court, Scott County.

Suit by C. C. Peters against D. C. Flanary and others, which, after decree for complainant, was reinstated by Martha A. Peters, survivor, etc., against the original defendants and John M. Peters. From a decree for Martha A. Peters, John M. Peters appeals. Reversed, with direction to dismiss the proceeding.

W. S. Cox, of Gate City, for appellant.

H. C. L. Richmond, of Gate City, for appellee.

*For other cases see same topic and KEY-NUMBER in all Key-Numbered Digests and Indexes.